

1 EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General  
of the State of California  
2 GREGORY J. SALUTE  
Supervising Deputy Attorney General  
3 BRIAN G. WALSH, State Bar No. 207621  
Deputy Attorney General  
4 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702  
Los Angeles, CA 90013  
5 Telephone: (213) 897-2535  
Facsimile: (213) 897-2804  
6

7 Attorneys for Complainant

8 **BEFORE THE**  
9 **BOARD OF PHARMACY**  
10 **DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS**  
11 **STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

12 In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. 3175

13 DENNIS HUGHES  
606-25 1/2 Road, #24  
Grand Junction, CO 81505  
Pharmacist License No. RPH 26090  
14

**ACCUSATION**

15 Respondent.

16  
17 Complainant alleges:

18 **PARTIES**

19 1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official  
20 capacity as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.

21 2. On or about July 15, 1969, the Board of Pharmacy (Board) issued  
22 Pharmacist License Number RPH 26090 to Dennis Hughes (Respondent). The Pharmacist  
23 License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will  
24 expire on December 31, 2008, unless renewed.

25 **JURISDICTION**

26 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board under the authority of the  
27 following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless  
28 otherwise indicated.

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 0
- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 0
- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8

- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 0
- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 0
- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8

[illegible]

5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
0  
1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
0  
1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
0  
1

9  
0  
1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
0  
1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8

- 0
- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18

2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18

8  
9  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

9  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
2823  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

25  
26  
27  
28

26  
27  
28

28

8

1                   8.       Section 4301 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

2                   The board shall take action against any holder of a license who is guilty of  
3                   unprofessional conduct or whose license has been procured by fraud or  
4                   misrepresentation or issued by mistake. Unprofessional conduct shall include, but  
5                   is not limited to, any of the following:

6                   .....

7                   (f)       The commission of any act involving moral turpitude, dishonesty,  
8                   fraud, deceit, or corruption, whether the act is committed in the course of relations  
9                   as a licensee or otherwise, and whether the act is a felony or misdemeanor or not.

10                  (g)       Knowingly making or signing any certificate or other document  
11                  that falsely represents the existence or nonexistence of a state of facts.

12                  .....

13                  (j)       The violation of any of the statutes of this state, or any other state,  
14                  or of the United States regulating controlled substances and dangerous drugs.

15                  (l)       The conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications,  
16                  functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. The record of conviction of  
17                  a violation of Chapter 13 (commencing with Section 801) of Title 21 of the  
18                  United States Code regulating controlled substances or of a violation of the  
19                  statutes of this state regulating controlled substances or dangerous drugs shall be  
20                  conclusive evidence of unprofessional conduct. In all other cases, the record of  
21                  conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction  
22                  occurred. The board may inquire into the circumstances surrounding the  
23                  commission of the crime, in order to fix the degree of discipline or, in the case of  
24                  a conviction not involving controlled substances or dangerous drugs, to determine  
25                  if the conviction is of an offense substantially related to the qualifications,  
26                  functions, and duties of a licensee under this chapter. A plea or verdict of guilty  
27                  or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction  
28                  within the meaning of this provision. The board may take action when the time  
                  for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal  
                  or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of  
                  sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal  
                  Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea  
                  of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation,  
                  information, or indictment.

                  (n)       The revocation, suspension, or other discipline by another state of a  
                  license to practice pharmacy, operate a pharmacy, or do any other act for which a  
                  license is required by this chapter.

                  (o)       Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or  
                  assisting in or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or  
                  term of this chapter or of the applicable federal and state laws and regulations  
                  governing pharmacy, including regulations established by the board or by any  
                  other state or federal regulatory agency.

                  (p)       Actions or conduct that would have warranted denial of a license.

                  ///

1                   9.     Health and Safety Code section 11171, subdivision (a),  
2 states: "No person shall prescribe, administer, or furnish a controlled substance except under the  
3 conditions and in the manner provided by [the Uniform Controlled Substances Act]."

4                   10.    Health and Safety Code section 11173, subdivision (a) states:  
5                   No person shall obtain or attempt to obtain controlled substances, or  
6 procure or attempt to procure the administration of or prescription for controlled  
7 substances, (1) by fraud, deceit, misrepresentation, or subterfuge; or (2) by the  
8 concealment of a material fact.

#### 8                                   REGULATORY PROVISIONS

9                   11.    California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1770, states:

10                   For the purpose of denial, suspension, or revocation of a personal or  
11 facility license pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the  
12 Business and Professions Code, a crime or act shall be considered substantially  
13 related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a licensee or registrant if to a  
14 substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee or  
15 registrant to perform the functions authorized by his license or registration in a  
16 manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare.

#### 14                                   CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES / DANGEROUS DRUGS

15                   12.    Oxycodone is an opiate used to treat severe pain. It is classified as a  
16 Schedule II controlled substance in Health and Safety Code section 11055, subdivision (b)(1)(N),  
17 and is a dangerous drug, as defined in Code section 4022. The brand name for Oxycodone is  
18 Oxycontin. The brand name for Oxycodone with APAP is Percocet.

19                   13.    Sildenafil is a drug used to treat erectile dysfunction. It is a dangerous  
20 drug, as defined in Code section 4022.

#### 21                                   COST RECOVERY

22                   14.    Section 125.3 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that the Board may  
23 request the administrative law judge to direct a licensee found to have committed a violation or  
24 violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation  
25 and enforcement of the case.

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1 **FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

2 **(Conviction of Substantially-Related Crimes)**

3 15. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code sections 490, and  
4 4301, subdivision (l), as a result of his conviction of crimes that are substantially related to the  
5 qualifications, functions, and duties of a pharmacist. On or about November 12, 2002,  
6 Respondent pled guilty and was convicted of one misdemeanor count of possession of a  
7 controlled substance, and one misdemeanor count of retail theft in the criminal proceeding  
8 entitled *State of Utah v. Dennis Hughes* (Third District Court, Salt Lake County,  
9 No. 021909882). As part of Respondent's criminal plea bargain, he agreed to surrender his Utah  
10 license to practice as a Pharmacist and Dispense Controlled Substances. Respondent was  
11 sentenced to 365 days in jail, and placed on probation for three years. The underlying factual  
12 circumstances involved Respondent's arrest on or about July 25, 2002, following a period of  
13 surveillance by the Loss Prevention Department at K-Mart Store #4273 in Salt Lake City, Utah.  
14 At the time of his arrest, Respondent was found with five unaccounted-for Percodan pills and  
15 twelve unaccounted-for Viagra pills in his possession.

16 **SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

17 **(Furnishing Controlled Substances Without Authorization)**

18 16. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301,  
19 subdivision (j); and section 4301, subdivision (o), in conjunction with section 4059, subdivision  
20 (a), for furnishing controlled substances without authorization. On the following six dates, while  
21 working as a pharmacist at a Von's Pharmacy in Yucca Valley, California (Von's), Respondent  
22 furnished Oxycodone, a controlled substance and a dangerous drug, to patient Beau H., without  
23 authorization from a prescriber: (1) July 22, 2006; (2) July 30, 2006; (3) August 3, 2006;  
24 (4) August 12, 2006; (5) September 3, 2006; and (6) September 17, 2006. Respondent  
25 accomplished the unauthorized furnishing of Oxycodone by adding a fraudulent Oxycodone  
26 prescription to a valid, existing prescription for another controlled substance/another patient. He  
27 would then leave Von's with the unauthorized Oxycodone, but without paying for it.  
28 Investigative evidence substantiated that Respondent furnished a total 460 unauthorized tablets



1 of Oxycodone to patient Beau H., representing a total retail loss to Von's of \$4,446.45.  
2 Respondent admitted illegally furnishing Oxycodone to Beau H., and agreed to pay Von's  
3 \$4,000.00 in restitution.

4 **THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

5 **(Fraudulently Obtaining Controlled Substances)**

6 17. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301,  
7 subdivisions (f) and (g); and subdivisions (j) and (o), in conjunction with Health and Safety Code  
8 section 11173, subdivision (a), for fraudulently obtaining controlled substances. Complainant  
9 now refers to and incorporates the allegations in paragraph 16, as though set forth fully.

10 **FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

11 **(Discipline by Another State)**

12 18. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 4301,  
13 subdivision (n), in that on November 21, 2002, in Case No. DOPL 2002-269, the Division of  
14 Occupational and Professional Licensing of the Department of Commerce of the State of Utah  
15 (the Utah Division) issued an order adopting a discipline-related stipulation that was entered into  
16 between the Utah Division and Respondent. The stipulation resolved pending allegations of  
17 unprofessional conduct involving the theft of controlled substances. The terms of the Stipulation  
18 included an express admission by Respondent that there was cause to discipline his Utah  
19 Pharmacist License, and acknowledgment that adoption of the stipulation by the Utah Division  
20 would constitute official disciplinary action. Based on these acknowledgments, Respondent  
21 agreed to surrender his license.

22 **FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

23 **(Conduct that Would Have Warranted the Denial of a License)**

24 19. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code section 4301,  
25 subdivision (p), in conjunction with section 480, in that Respondent's conviction of  
26 substantially-related crimes, and the fraudulent underlying behavior, amounted to conduct that  
27 would have warranted the denial of a license. Complainant now refers to and incorporates the  
28 allegations set forth in paragraphs 15-17, as if set forth fully.

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

25  
26  
27  
28

26  
27  
28